Revised: 6 May 2022

#### DOI: 10.1002/dta.3283

### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

WILEY

# Cannabinoid receptor activation potential of the next generation, generic ban evading OXIZID synthetic cannabinoid receptor agonists

Marie H. Deventer<sup>1</sup> | Katleen Van Uytfanghe<sup>1</sup> | Inge M. J. Vinckier<sup>2</sup> | Fabiano Reniero<sup>3</sup> | Claude Guillou<sup>3</sup> | Christophe P. Stove<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Toxicology, Department of Bioanalysis, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Customs and Excises, Vilvoorde, Belgium

<sup>3</sup>European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Directorate F-Health, Consumers and Reference Materials, Ispra, Italy

#### Correspondence

Christophe P. Stove, Laboratory of Toxicology, Department of Bioanalysis, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Ghent University, Ottergemsesteenweg 460, 9000 Ghent, Belgium. Email: christophe.stove@ugent.be

Funding information

Research Foundation-Flanders, Grant/Award Number: 1S54521N

#### Abstract

In recent years, several nations have implemented various measures to control the surge of new synthetic cannabinoid receptor agonists (SCRAs) entering the recreational drug market. In July 2021, China put into effect a new generic legislation, banning SCRAs containing one of seven general core scaffolds. However, this has driven manufacturers towards the synthesis of SCRAs with alternative core structures, exemplified by the recent emergence of "OXIZID SCRAs." Here, using in vitro  $\beta$ -arrestin2 recruitment assays, we report on the CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> potency and efficacy of five members of this new class of SCRAs: BZO-HEXOXIZID, BZO-POXIZID, 5-fluoro BZO-POXIZID, BZO-4en-POXIZID, and BZO-CHMOXIZID. All compounds behaved as full agonists at CB<sub>1</sub> and partial agonists at CB<sub>2</sub>. Potencies ranged from 84.6 to 721 nM at CB1 and 2.21 to 25.9 nM at CB2. Shortening the n-hexyl tail to a pentyl tail enhanced activity at both receptors. Fluorination of this pentyl analog did not yield a higher receptor activation potential, whereas an unsaturated tail resulted in decreased potency and efficacy at CB1. The cyclohexyl methyl analog BZO-CHMOXIZID was the most potent compound at both receptors, with EC<sub>50</sub> values of 84.6 and 2.21 nM at CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub>, respectively. Evaluation of the activity of a seized powder containing BZO-4en-POXIZID suggested a high purity, in line with highperformance liquid chromatography coupled to diode-array detection (HPLC-DAD), gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (GC-MS), liquid chromatography coupled to time-of-flight mass spectrometry (LC-QTOF-MS), and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analysis. Furthermore, all tested compounds showed a preference for CB<sub>2</sub>, except for BZO-POXIZID. Overall, these findings inform public health officials, law enforcement agencies, and clinicians on these newly emerging SCRAs.

#### KEYWORDS

bioassay, CB1 cannabinoid receptor, new psychoactive substances, OXIZID, synthetic cannabinoid receptor agonists

### 1 | INTRODUCTION

Synthetic cannabinoid receptor agonists (SCRAs) remain one of the most identified classes of new psychoactive substances (NPS) worldwide, and their number continues to increase.<sup>1</sup> Eleven new SCRAs were reported in Europe for the first time in 2020, adding up to a total of 209 compounds being detected since 2008.<sup>2</sup> Although a decrease in the number of newly detected SCRAs has been noticed during the last years,<sup>1</sup> monitoring SCRA use remains important in specific settings, for instance among homeless people and in prisons (in Europe), the latter usually to circumvent mandatory drug tests.<sup>3,4</sup> SCRAs exert their main sought-after psychoactive effects at the CB1 cannabinoid receptor, thereby mimicking the effects of the phytocannabinoid  $\Delta^9$ tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), the primary psychoactive substance of cannabis. Their major threat lies in their often much higher potency and efficacy compared with THC,<sup>5–7</sup> the variability in the composition of the marketed products, and their easy accessibility via the Internet.<sup>8</sup> Additionally, there has been an increase in reports of cannabis adulterated with potent SCRAs, resulting in users being unaware of the potential harms they could be exposed to.<sup>2</sup> SCRAs have been

associated with psychosis, agitation, hallucinations, seizures, respiratory failure, cardiovascular effects, coma, and even death.<sup>9-13</sup>

SCRAs and NPS in general are hard to put under legislative control, as unknown substances appear on the illicit drug market at a rapid pace. The stabilizing number of new NPS detected during recent years suggests the impact of regulatory steps taken by several nations, such as the introduction of generic legislations. These allow a nation to ban a larger group of substances encompassing certain core structures.<sup>1,17</sup> However, the already complex recreational drug market remains a "game of cat and mouse," as clandestine labs manage to find "legal loopholes" by synthesizing structurally diverse compounds not covered by the current control measures. For instance, in May 2021, the Office of China National Narcotics Control Commission announced that as of July 1, 2021, a generic legislation would be in place to control synthetic cannabinoids, similar to the scheduling of fentanyl-related substances in 2019.18,19 As opposed to the former individual listing of substances, which required the cumbersome identification and specification of each individual compound to be controlled, this measure allowed for a nationwide ban of compounds structurally related to seven general scaffolds (see Figure 1).<sup>14</sup> This



R<sup>1</sup> represents C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>8</sub> alkyl group whether or not substituted; or heterocyclic group containing 1-3 heteroatoms whether or not substituted; or methyl or ethyl substituted by a heterocyclic group containing 1-3 heteroatoms whether or not substituted.

- R<sup>2</sup> represents a hydrogen atom or methyl group or no atom
- R<sup>3</sup> represents a C<sub>6</sub>-C<sub>10</sub> aryl group whether or not substituted; or a C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>10</sub> alkyl group whether or not substituted; or a heterocyclic group containing 1-3 heteroatoms whether or not substituted; or a methyl or ethyl group substituted by a heterocyclic group containing 1-3 heteroatoms whether or not substituted
- R<sup>4</sup> represents a hydrogen atom; phenyl group whether or not substituted; benzyl group whether or not substituted
- R<sup>5</sup> represents a C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>10</sub> alkyl group whether or not substituted
- X represents N or C
- Y represents N or CH
- Z represents O or NH or no atom

**FIGURE 1** Overview of the seven general SCRA scaffolds covered by the generic control measure in China, in effect as of July 1, 2021. The figure is based on the official announcement document, released by the Office of China National Narcotics Control Commission on May 12, 2021<sup>14</sup>

Initial naming	Synonyms	IUPAC naming	New systematic naming
MDA-19	MDA19 MDA 19	(Z)-N-(1-hexyl-2-oxoindolin-3-ylidene)benzohydrazide	BZO-HEXOXIZID
Pentyl-MDA-19	5C-MDA-19 MDA-19 pentyl analog	(Z)-N-(1-pentyl-2-oxoindolin-3-ylidene)benzohydrazide	BZO-POXIZID
5F-MDA-19	MDA-19 5-fluoropentyl analog	(Z)-N-(1-(5-fluoropentyl)-2-oxoindolin-3-ylidene) benzohydrazide	5F-BZO-POXIZID
4en-pentyl MDA-19		(Z)-N'-(2-oxo-1-(pent-4-en-1-yl)indolin-3-ylidene) benzohydrazide	BZO-4en-POXIZID <sup>15</sup>
CHM-MDA-19	Cyclohexylmethyl MDA-19	(Z)-N-(1-(cyclohexylmethyl)-2-oxoindolin-3-ylidene) benzohydrazide	BZO-CHMOXIZID

**TABLE 1** Comparison of different nomenclature for the discussed substances

Note: The table is based on the Public Health Alert Report, prepared by NPS Discovery (CFSRE) and Cayman Chemical, and released on August 31, 2021.<sup>16</sup>

may have driven manufacturers towards the synthesis of compounds with new core structures, not covered by this legislation.<sup>15</sup> The first series of such compounds was recently reported by Liu et al., who identified and characterized AD-18, 5F-MDA-19, and pentyl-MDA-19 in a seizure of powders and e-liquids.<sup>20</sup> As the term MDA (stemming from M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, the Institute where these compounds were first synthesized-see below) may be confused with the abbreviation of methylenedioxyamphetamine, a new, more "SCRAfriendly" OXIZID-nomenclature, based on the chemical structure and IUPAC name, was developed by Cayman Chemical and the NPS Discovery program at the US-based Center for Forensic Science Research & Education (CFSRE) (see Table 1).<sup>15</sup> The term OXIZID refers to the OXoIndoline core attached to the aZIDe linker and will be used throughout this article. As these OXIZIDs introduce a new class of non-scheduled compounds, it is anticipated that the number of OXIZID SCRAs may further increase in the near future.

Unlike CB<sub>1</sub>, which is predominantly present in the central nervous system, CB<sub>2</sub> is primarily located in cells of the immune system and is involved in inflammatory processes.<sup>21,22</sup> As CB<sub>2</sub>-selective agonists are believed to be devoid of the undesirable side effects correlated with CB<sub>1</sub> activation and, importantly, are not believed to be psychoactive, they are considered to be potentially interesting therapeutic tools.<sup>23–26</sup> It is in this context that the CB<sub>2</sub> agonist MDA-19 (BZO-HEXOXIZID) was developed in 2008 and was later pharmacologically characterized at the University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center.<sup>27,28</sup> It served as a lead compound in the development of therapeutics for the treatment of neuropathic pain, an often difficult-to-treat condition caused by trauma or disease of the somatosensory nervous system<sup>29,30</sup> as a result of, for example, diabetic neuropathy, multiple sclerosis, trigeminal neuralgia, and postherpetic neuralgia.<sup>27,31</sup>

The scarcely available literature also mentions in vitro antiproliferative potential of BZO-HEXOXIZID, as studied using melanoma, osteosarcoma, and hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines.<sup>32–34</sup>

In October 2016, BZO-HEXOXIZID was notified for the first time in Spain and reported to the European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA).<sup>35</sup> In October 2021, the CFSRE reported on the seizure of BZO-HEXOXIZID and two of its analogs, the truncated BZO-POXIZID (pentyl-MDA-19) and its fluorinated counterpart 5F-BZO-POXIZID (5F-MDA-19) in the United States.<sup>36–38</sup> Shortly after, the first appearance on the recreational drug market in China of BZO-POXIZID and 5F-BZO-POXIZID was reported by Liu et al.<sup>20</sup> Only 1 month later, the CFSRE reported on the identification in plant-like material of yet another analog, BZO-CHMOXIZID (CHM-MDA-19, with a cyclohexyl methyl moiety instead of the hexyl tail of MDA-19).<sup>39</sup> Most recently, in December 2021, the Hungarian government announced that BZO-POXIZID. BZO-CHMOXIZID, and another analog, BZO-4en-POXIZID, carrying an unsaturated tail seen in other commonly identified SCRAs such as MDMB-4en-PINACA and ADB-4en-PINACA,<sup>40-42</sup> were to be added to the list of controlled NPS (Decree No 55/2014).43,44 Relatively little is known about the pharmacology and structure-activity relationship of these compounds.

The fact that BZO-HEXOXIZID and its related compounds have repeatedly been identified in seized materials suggests that these compounds might still possess the potential to activate CB<sub>1</sub> and may be used for their psychoactive properties. In this study, we therefore assessed the intrinsic receptor activation potential of a panel of five OXIZID SCRAs (BZO-HEXOXIZID, BZO-POXIZID, 5F-BZO-POXIZID, BZO-4en-POXIZID, and BZO-CHMOXIZID) at both CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> by means of activity-based bioassays, monitoring  $\beta$ -arrestin2 ( $\beta$ arr2) recruitment to the activated receptor (structures of the compounds can be found in Figure 2). Furthermore, these bioassays were also used to evaluate the CB<sub>1/2</sub> receptor activation potential of a powder that was intercepted by the Belgian Customs in November 2021 and that was confirmed to contain BZO-4en-POXIZID.



**FIGURE 2** Chemical structures of the OXIZIDs evaluated in this report, together with the reference compound CP55,940 and the prototypic SCRA JWH-018. Structures were made with the ChemDraw 19 professional software

### 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 | Materials and reagents

Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (GlutaMAX<sup>™</sup>), Opti-MEM I Reduced Serum, penicillin, streptomycin, and amphotericin B were procured from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) and poly-D-lysine were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (Darmstadt, Germany). Methanol was purchased from Chem-Lab NV (Zedelgem, Belgium). BZO-HEXOXIZID, BZO-POXIZID, 5F-BZO-POXIZID, BZO-4en-POXIZID, and BZO-CHMOXIZID were kindly provided by Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI, USA). The Nano-Glo<sup>®</sup> Live Cell reagent and the Nano-Glo<sup>®</sup> LCS Dilution buffer were obtained from Promega (Madison, WI, USA). A powder sample containing BZO-4en-POXIZID was seized in November 2021 by the Laboratory of the Belgian Customs and Excise services (Vilvoorde, Belgium). All reagents used for the analytical characterization were at least of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade. LC-MS grade methanol and formic acid were purchased from Chem-Lab NV. Acetonitrile was procured from Biosolve (Valkenswaard, The Netherlands). Ammonium formate, ortho-phosporic acid (85%) and potassium dihydrogen phosphate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Diegem, Belgium). For NMR analysis, all reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. For FTIR analysis, no solvents or reagents were used.

### 2.2 | In vitro $CB_1$ and $CB_2 \beta$ -arrestin2 recruitment assays

To determine activity at CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub>, previously described live cellbased bioassays monitoring agonist-induced recruitment of the intracellular  $\beta$ arr2 protein to the activated receptor were used. The concept is based on the NanoLuc Binary Technology (NanoBiT<sup>®</sup>, Promega), monitoring the interaction between one inactive subunit of a nanoluciferase fused to the receptor, and the other subunit, fused to  $\beta$ arr2. Receptor activation by a ligand results in the recruitment of  $\beta$ arr2, bringing the two subunits in close proximity, resulting in functional complementation of the enzyme. In the presence of the furimazine substrate, measurable bioluminescence is generated. The development of the system and the establishment of the stable cell lines used for these assays have been reported before.<sup>45-47</sup>

Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T stably expressing the CB<sub>1</sub>- $\beta$ arr2 or CB<sub>2</sub>- $\beta$ arr2 system were routinely maintained at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> under humidified atmosphere in DMEM (GlutaMAX<sup>TM</sup>), supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS, 100 IU/ml of penicillin, 100 µg/ml of streptomycin, and 0.25 µg/ml of amphotericin B.

Stock solutions were made in MeOH. Working solutions were prepared by serial dilution in Opti-MEM containing 50% MeOH and were used within 24 h upon preparation.

On the day prior to the assay, cells were seeded in poly-D-lysine coated white opaque-walled 96-well plates at approximately 50,000 cells per well and left to incubate overnight. Next, to remove residual traces of serum that could potentially interfere with protein

interactions during the assay, cells were rinsed twice with 150 µl of Opti-MEM I reduced serum, and 100  $\mu$ I of this medium was added to each well. The Nano-Glo® Live Cell reagent, a non-lytic cell reagent containing the furimazine substrate, was diluted 20-fold in LCS buffer, and 25 µl of this mix was added to each well. The plate was then placed in the TriStar<sup>2</sup> LB 942 Multimode Microplate Reader (Berthold Technologies GmbH & Co., Germany), and luminescence was monitored for 10-15 min during an initial equilibration phase, which will later be used to correct for inter-well variability. Upon stabilization of the signal, 10  $\mu$ l of a 13.5 $\times$  concentrated stock solution was added, and luminescence was measured during 2 h. A concentration range of the reference compound CP55,940 and appropriate solvent controls for the analyzed compounds were included on each plate. CP55.940 was selected as a reference because it was previously used for the characterization of MDA-19 and structural analogs.<sup>27,28</sup> To allow for a better comparison with earlier work, JWH-018 was also taken along, as it has often served as a reference compound in the used bioassays.<sup>48-50</sup> All test concentrations were run in duplicate in minimally three independent experiments.

#### 2.3 | Data analysis and statistical analyses

Raw data were processed using Microsoft Excel 2019, followed by curve fitting and statistical analysis using the GraphPad Prism software (Version 9.3.0) (San Diego, CA, USA). Firstly, to correct for interwell variability, a baseline correction was performed on the absolute luminescence values, using data generated during the equilibration period. Then, for each compound, the mean area under the curve (AUC) was calculated. A blank correction was performed by subtracting AUC values of the solvent controls. Results represent the AUC ± standard error of mean (SEM) and were obtained by normalizing to the  $E_{max}$  of the reference compound CP55,940, arbitrarily set at 100%. Data points were consistently excluded for the highest concentration in case of a signal reduction of 20% or more compared to the next dilution, as this could potentially be a sign of cell toxicity or solubility issues at higher concentrations. Potency and efficacy were assessed by calculating pharmacological parameters EC<sub>50</sub> and E<sub>max</sub> by curve fitting the obtained concentration-response curves via nonlinear regression (three-parameter logistic fit). Outliers were detected using the Grubbs test and omitted from the dataset if applicable (p value < 0.05; applicable for 1 out of 958 data points).

Receptor selectivity was evaluated and quantitated using an intrinsic relative activity-based method, commonly employed to calculate pathway bias.<sup>49,51-53</sup> For each test compound, the intrinsic relative activity (RA<sub>i</sub>) was calculated using Equation 1 for both CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub>, where "A" represents the compound and "CP" represents the reference compound CP55,940. The latter was appropriate to use for this selectivity determination as it is considered a non-selective cannabinoid agonist.<sup>54</sup>

$$\mathsf{RA}_{i} = \frac{\mathsf{E}_{\max,\mathsf{A}} \times \mathsf{EC}_{50,\mathsf{CP}}}{\mathsf{EC}_{50,\mathsf{A}} \times \mathsf{E}_{\max,\mathsf{CP}}} \tag{1}$$

Both RA<sub>i</sub> values were incorporated in Equation (2), yielding a numerical "receptor selectivity factor," calculated to assess a potential preference towards either cannabinoid receptor.

$$Receptor selectivity = Log\left(\frac{RA_{i}^{CB_{1}}}{RA_{i}^{CB_{2}}}\right)$$
(2)

### 2.4 | Analytical characterization of the OXIZID standards and the seized BZO-4en-POXIZID

The reference standards of BZO-HEXOXIZID, BZO-POXIZID, 5F-BZO-POXIZID, BZO-4en-POXIZID, and BZO-CHMOXIZID and the seized powder were analytically characterized via high-performance liquid chromatography coupled to diode-array detection (HPLC-DAD), gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (GC-MS), and liquid chromatography coupled to time-of-flight mass spectrometry (LC-QTOF-MS) as described before.<sup>55</sup> The obtained spectra are provided in Supporting Information. For the BZO-4en-POXIZID powder, also Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR) was carried out. A short summary of each technique is provided below.

### 2.4.1 | High-performance liquid chromatography coupled to diode-array detection (HPLC-DAD)

Reversed-phase separation of the sample was performed on a LaChrom HPLC system from Merck-Hitachi (Tokyo, Japan), using a Merck Purospher<sup>®</sup> Star RP-8 endcapped column (5 µm, 125 mm × 4.6 mm) with a Merck Purospher<sup>®</sup> Star RP-8 endcapped guard column (5 µm, 4 mm × 4 mm). A diode-array detector was used to monitor a wavelength from 220 to 350 nm with a slit of 1 nm, a spectral bandwidth of 1 nm, and a spectral interval of 200 ms. The selected wavelength, used to display the chromatographic trace, was 230 nm. A total of  $\sim$ 1 µg was injected onto the column (50 µl). For more detailed settings, the reader is referred to Supporting Information.

### 2.4.2 | Gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (GC-MS)

One microliter of a 1-mg/ml solution was injected on an Agilent 7890A GC system coupled to a 5975 XL mass-selective detector operated by MSD Chemstation software. A 30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm i. d.  $\times$  0.25 µm Agilent HP-5-MS column was used. Splitless injections were performed automatically at an injection temperature of 250 °C and purge time of 1 min, with helium as a carrier gas at constant flow rate (1 ml/min). The temperature program started at 80°C for 1 min, followed by an increase at 20 °C/min to 200 °C. The temperature was then raised by 4 °C/min to 260 °C and by 30 °C/min to 300 °C, which was held for an additional 8 min. Transfer line temperature and ion

source temperature were set at 300 °C and 230 °C, respectively. The MS quadrupole temperature was set at 150 °C, and an ionization energy of 70 eV was used. The mass spectrometer operated in SCAN mode, scanning a range of 50 to 700 m/z.

2.4.3 | Liquid chromatography coupled to time-offlight mass spectrometry (LC-QTOF-MS)

Chromatographic separation was performed using an Agilent 1290 Infinity LC system equipped with a Phenomenex Kinetex C18-column (2.6  $\mu$ m, 3 × 50 mm), maintained at 30°C. The high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) system used was a 5600 + QTOF with an electrospray ionization (ESI) source (Sciex). Upon selection of the parent compound in the quadrupole (based on mass-to-charge ratio), fragmentation occurs in the collision cell (collision energy: 35 V). Sciex Analyst TF 1.7.1 software was used to steer the system. Exact settings were the same as reported before<sup>55,56</sup> and resulted in a TOF-MS full scan combined with a data dependent acquisition of product ion spectra. For more detailed settings, the reader is referred to Supporting Information.

### 2.4.4 | Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)

FTIR analysis was performed directly on the powder as received, using an Alpha-FTIR instrument from Bruker (Billerica, MA, US), equipped with an attenuated total reflection (ATR) unit. A series of 24 scans were recorded in the 400–4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> wave number range, with a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

### 2.4.5 | Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR)

NMR analyses were performed as previously described.<sup>57</sup> NMR spectra were acquired on a Bruker (Rheinstetten, Germany) spectrometer Avance II HD 600 (nominal proton frequency 600.13 MHz), equipped with a 5-mm QCI cryo-probe (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>15</sup>N, and <sup>19</sup>F), in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> solvent at 300 K. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts are expressed in  $\delta$  scale (ppm) and referenced to the solvent (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) residuals, at 2.50 and 39.52 ppm, respectively. The seized BZO-4en-POXIZID powder was characterized by one-dimensional <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, and APT as well as <sup>1</sup>H/<sup>1</sup>H COSY, <sup>1</sup>H/<sup>1</sup>H TOCSY, <sup>1</sup>H/<sup>13</sup>C HMBC, and <sup>15</sup>N/<sup>1</sup>H HMBC experiments.

### 3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The activity of the novel SCRA BZO-HEXOXIZID and four structural analogs was evaluated using two similar bioassays based on the NanoBiT<sup>®</sup> technique, monitoring the recruitment of  $\beta$ arr2 to

6

either CB<sub>1</sub> or CB<sub>2</sub>, upon receptor activation. This event typically results in desensitization and internalization of the receptor, thereby preventing further G protein-mediated downstream signaling. Compared to other commercialized  $\beta arr2$  recruitment assays, such as the PathHunter<sup>®</sup> assay (Discoverx) or Tango<sup>TM</sup> assay (ThermoFisher Scientific) which only allow for one end-point

measurement, the NanoBiT<sup>®</sup> assay output covers a 2-h luminescence measurement period, taking into account the complete receptor activation profile for further calculations.<sup>58</sup> EC<sub>50</sub> values, representing potency, and  $E_{max}$  values, representing efficacy, are depicted in Table 2. Concentration-response curves of the compounds can be found in Figure 3.

**TABLE 2** Potency (EC<sub>50</sub>) and efficacy (E<sub>max</sub> relative to CP55,940) values and assessment of cannabinoid receptor selectivity of BZO-HEXOXIZID and analogs at either the CB<sub>1</sub> of CB<sub>2</sub> receptor

	CB <sub>1</sub>		CB <sub>2</sub>			
Compound	EC <sub>50</sub> (nM) (95% CI)	E <sub>max</sub> (%) (95% CI)	EC <sub>50</sub> (nM) (95% Cl)	E <sub>max</sub> (%) (95% Cl)	Ratio of potencies CB <sub>1</sub> /CB <sub>2</sub>	Receptor selectivity <sup>a</sup> (CB <sub>1</sub> /CB <sub>2</sub> )
BZO-HEXOXIZID	721 (428–1192)	165 (149–180)	25.9 (10.0-67.5)	35.0 (31.0-39.1)	27.8	-0.62
BZO-POXIZID	244 (142-420)	686 (609-768)	12.2 (3.95–40.1)	59.8 (51.8-68.2)	20.0	-0.09
5F-BZO-POXIZID	226 (136-378)	731 (657–810)	4.11 (0.86-18.0)	51.7 (40.9-63.5)	55.0	-0.44
BZO-4en-POXIZID	532 (227–1192)	399 (328-480)	12.6 (2.53–63.1)	54.1 (43.3–65.7)	42.2	-0.61
Seized powder BZO- 4en-POXIZID	521 (300-882)	318 (280-359)	14.5 (2.20-97.7)	54.1 (41.5-67.9)	35.9	-0.64
BZO-CHMOXIZID	84.6 (23–275)	716 (566-876)	2.21 (0.72-7.03)	69.2 (59.7–79.2)	38.3	-0.42
JWH-018	23.9 (11.3-52.9)	340 (306-376)	6.78 (2.93-14.9)	74.0 (66.7-81.4)	3.53	-0.27
CP55,940	0.69 (0.25-1.74)	99.7 (87.5–112)	0.49 (0.16-1.37)	100 (87.4–113)	1.41	0

<sup>a</sup>Receptor selectivity calculated in a way similar to bias calculation, using relative intrinsic activities.

Note: Particular potency and efficacy values in italics belong to a seized sample (not a pure reference standard).



**FIGURE 3** Activation profiles obtained for BZO-HEXOXIZID and analogs, JWH-018 and reference compound CP55,940 at the CB<sub>1</sub> receptor (A) and the CB<sub>2</sub> receptor (B). Panels (C) and (D) depict activation profiles at the CB<sub>1</sub> receptor (C) and CB<sub>2</sub> receptor (D) of the seized BZO-4en-POXIZID powder, compared with the standard of the BZO-4en-POXIZID, JWH-018, and the reference compound CP55,940. Each datapoint represents the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). All data were normalized to the maximal response of CP55,940, arbitrarily set at 100% [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

## 3.1 | Structure-activity relationship at CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub>

At CB<sub>1</sub>, all tested compounds were found to be full agonists in comparison with the reference compound CP55,940, with  $E_{max}$  values exceeding 100%. On the other hand, all compounds behaved as partial agonists at CB<sub>2</sub>, compared to the reference, with relative efficacies ranging from 35.0 to 69.2%.

In both assays, BZO-HEXOXIZID exhibited the lowest potency and efficacy of the analyzed set. The  $EC_{50}$  and  $E_{max}$  values found in the CB<sub>1</sub>-βarr2 assay were 721 nM and 165%, respectively, whereas at CB<sub>2</sub>, an EC<sub>50</sub> of 25.9 nM and E<sub>max</sub> of 35.0% were calculated. Shortening the n-hexyl tail to an n-pentyl tail resulted in a substantial increase in both potency and relative efficacy at CB1, with BZO-POXIZID showing an EC<sub>50</sub> value of 244 nM and E<sub>max</sub> value of 686%. Its terminally fluorinated counterpart 5F-BZO-POXIZID showed very similar activation profiles, with an EC<sub>50</sub> of 226 nM and an E<sub>max</sub> of 731%. Our data are in line with those reported by Diaz et al., who, using a [<sup>35</sup>S] GTP-y-S assay, compared the CB1 activation potential of BZO-HEXOXIZID with that of BZO-POXIZID and also observed a higher functional activity for the latter.<sup>27</sup> The absence of an impact of fluorination on intrinsic CB1 activation potential is in line with previous findings observed for CUMYL-PEGACLONE and its 5F analog.49 Looking at CB<sub>2</sub> activation, a slight increase in potency can be noticed for 5F-BZO-POXIZID (EC<sub>50</sub> = 4.11 nM) relative to BZO-POXIZID  $(EC_{50} = 12.2 \text{ nM})$ , although this difference is relatively minor. Relative efficacies at CB<sub>2</sub> of both analogs were also in the same order of magnitude, that is, 51.7% for 5F-BZO-POXIZID and 59.8% for BZO-POXIZID.

Furthermore, the CB<sub>1</sub> activation data suggest that the presence of a double bond in the pentyl tail, as present in BZO-4en-POXIZID, negatively impacted both the potency and efficacy ( $EC_{50} = 532 \text{ nM}$ ,  $E_{max} = 399\%$ ), relative to BZO-POXIZID. Interestingly, at CB<sub>2</sub>, this negative impact could not be demonstrated, with very similar  $EC_{50}$ and Emax values for BZO-4en-POXIZID and BZO-POXIZID (12.6 vs. 12.2 nM and 54.1% vs. 59.8%, respectively). However, this decrease in activity is not unequivocally reflected in literature. When comparing JWH-018 with its unsaturated analog JWH-022, the latter was found to be more potent in vivo, as demonstrated via monitoring of antinociception, hypomobility, hypothermia, catalepsy in mice, and discriminative stimulus effects in rats, indicating that a pentenyl tail does not universally have a negative effect on cannabinoid activity.<sup>59</sup> Furthermore, using our  $CB_1$ - $\beta$ arr2 assay, we previously found that the unsaturated MDMB-4en-PICA had roughly the same potency and efficacy at CB<sub>1</sub> (EC<sub>50</sub> = 3.70 nM, E<sub>max</sub> = 289%) as its fluorinated, saturated analog 5F-MDMB-PICA (EC520 = 2.13 nM,  $E_{max} = 289\%$ ).<sup>60</sup>

The most potent OXIZID SCRA of this set in terms of both CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> activation was BZO-CHMOXIZID, with EC<sub>50</sub> values of 84.6 and 2.21 nM, respectively. With an  $E_{max}$  of 716% compared with the reference, its efficacy at CB<sub>1</sub> lies within the same range of that of BZO-POXIZID and 5F-BZO-POXIZID. The  $E_{max}$  value obtained at CB<sub>2</sub> was 69.2%, which ranks it among the most efficacious SCRAs of this set. These findings align well with those of Diaz et al., who reported that replacing the aliphatic tail of BZO-HEXOXIZID (MDA-19) by a cyclohexyl methyl resulted in an important increase in activity at both

cyclohexyl methyl resulted in an important increase in activity at both receptors in a [ $^{35}$ S]GTP- $\gamma$ -S assay, yielding the most potent compound of the analyzed set.<sup>27</sup> Looking at other SCRAs, however, this is not a consistent finding. For instance, comparing the CB<sub>1</sub> activity of the assumed SCRA intermediate NNL-3 (HOBt-5F-P7AIC, carrying a fluoro pentyl tail), with its defluorinated (HOBt-P7AIC) or its cyclohexyl methyl (HOBt-CHM7AIC) analog, we noticed a dramatic decrease in activity for the HOBt-CHM7AIC, whereas both pentyl analogs had a quite similar activation profile.<sup>61</sup> Furthermore, when comparing two L-valine SCRAs at CB<sub>1</sub>, replacement of the fluoro pentyl tail in 5F-AB-PINACA (EC<sub>50</sub> = 55.4 nM) by a cyclohexyl methyl moiety in AB-CHMINACA (EC<sub>50</sub> = 3.45 nM) did yield a more potent compound, whereas the *tert*-leucine analogs 5F-MDMB-PINACA (EC<sub>50</sub> = 0.84 nM) and MDMB-CHMINACA (EC<sub>50</sub> = 0.78 nM) had essentially the same potency at CB<sub>1</sub>.<sup>50</sup>

It is interesting to highlight that, although we found these compounds to exhibit a broad range of intrinsic activities at CB<sub>1</sub>, Diaz et al. did not observe large differences in binding affinity of BZO-HEXOXIZID, BZO-POXIZID, and BZO-CHMOXIZID for both cannabinoid receptors, as evaluated using radioligand binding assays.<sup>27</sup> This emphasizes the fact that the differences in activities, as demonstrated in our bioassays, are most likely not the consequence of different receptor affinities, but rather the result of other or better interactions with residues inside the binding pocket of the receptors. Compared with the efficacies obtained using the CB<sub>1</sub>- $\beta$ arr2 bioassay, E<sub>max</sub> values for the CB<sub>2</sub> receptor are less divergent, which is in agreement with past analyses in which we have consistently noticed a more clustered profile for CB<sub>2</sub>. To this day, the underlying reason for this has not been elucidated.

In summary, a general trend could be noticed regarding the impact of the tail of these OXIZID SCRAs on potency and relative efficacy at CB<sub>1</sub>. BZO-HEXOXIZID, carrying a hexyl tail, had the lowest activity, followed by the 4-pentenyl analog BZO-4en-POXIZID. Although the saturated BZO-POXIZID and its fluoro pentyl analog 5F-BZO-POXIZID were more potent and efficacious, the lowest EC<sub>50</sub> value (and hence highest potency) was observed for BZO-CHMOXIZID, the only SCRA in this set carrying a cyclic tail. Overall, at CB<sub>2</sub>, the same rank order in terms of potency was applicable, albeit less distinct.

### 3.2 | Assessment of cannabinoid receptor selectivity

BZO-HEXOXIZID was originally selected as a potential lead compound in the search for new therapeutics for neuropathic pain, based on its potency at CB<sub>2</sub> (63.4 nM), its CB<sub>2</sub> selectivity and its only moderate potency at CB<sub>1</sub>, as assessed by means of a [<sup>35</sup>S] guanosine-5'triphosphate (GTP)- $\gamma$ -S assay.<sup>27</sup> To further investigate the receptor preference (CB<sub>1</sub> vs. CB<sub>2</sub>) of BZO-HEXOXIZID and its analogs, two methods were implemented. First, in line with the method applied by Banister et al., the ratio of EC<sub>50</sub> values (CB<sub>1</sub>/CB<sub>2</sub>) was calculated.<sup>62</sup> A higher value reflects a larger fold difference between both  $EC_{50}$  values, indicative of a more  $CB_2$  selective compound. Second, and more elaborately, a numerical value, similar to a bias factor, was calculated. This calculation entails the relative intrinsic activity (RA<sub>i</sub>), which takes into account both the  $EC_{50}$  (potency) and  $E_{max}$  (efficacy) value of a compound at the two cannabinoid receptors. As this method includes both pharmacological parameters (potency and efficacy) in the equation and therefore considers multiple aspects of  $CB_1$  and  $CB_2$  activation, it may be a more comprehensive and complete approach to evaluate receptor selectivity. Values below 0 indicate a preference towards  $CB_2$  and therefore a potential  $CB_2$  selectivity.

Implementing the  $EC_{50}$  ratio method, all SCRAs exhibited a clear CB<sub>2</sub> selectivity (20.0–55.0), compared with CP55,940 (1.41) and JWH-018 (3.53). The same conclusion could be drawn from the bias formula method, assigning a preference towards CB<sub>2</sub> activation for all compounds, except for the n-pentyl analog BZO-POXIZID. In fact, BZO-POXIZID was found to be the least CB<sub>2</sub> selective using both calculation methods. Taken together, the CB activation profile of most OXIZID compounds somewhat resembles that of XLR-11, a SCRA found in authentic urine samples of drug users in 2017, which also demonstrated a CB<sub>2</sub> preference in our bioassay.<sup>46</sup> Overall, the assessment of receptor selectivity based on the formula also implemented for bias calculation seems to present a clearer view on the selective behavior of these substances, as differences appear to be more pronounced compared with the somewhat clustered EC<sub>50</sub> ratios.

### 3.3 | Analytical characterization of a seized powder containing BZO-4en-POXIZID

A yellow powder, in which the presence of BZO-4en-POXIZID was demonstrated, was intercepted by the Belgian Customs in November 2021. This powder was characterized alongside the reference standard for BZO-4en-POXZID using HPLC-DAD, GC-MS, and LC-QTOF-MS, as well as with FTIR and NMR, which confirmed the identity of the powder (Supporting Information), with no impurities being detected. This powder was also analyzed alongside the BZO-4en-POXIZID reference standard for its activity in the CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> bioassays. Figure 3C,D illustrates the similar activation profiles for the BZO-4en-POXIZID powder and the reference standard. Given the comparable potency and efficacy at both receptors (EC<sub>50</sub> 512 nM,  $E_{max}$  318% for the powder vs. EC<sub>50</sub> 532 nM,  $E_{max}$  399% for the BZO-4en-POXIZID standard at CB<sub>1</sub>), a high level of purity of the powder can be assumed, in line with the analytical characterization.

## 3.4 | Analytical characterization of reference standards of a panel of OXIZID SCRAs

Similar to the analysis of the seized sample, reference standards of BZO-HEXOXIZID, BZO-POXIZID, 5F-BZO-POXIZID, BZO-4en-POXIZID, and BZO-CHMOXIZID were characterized using HPLC-DAD, GC-MS, and LC-QTOF-MS. Results were in line with findings reported by Liu et al.,<sup>20</sup> who characterized BZO-POXIZID and 5F-BZO-POXIZID using GC-MS and QTOF-MS. For BZO-HEXOXIZID and BZO-CHMOXIZID, results were in accordance with the analytical reports distributed by the CFSRE.<sup>36,39</sup> Chromatograms and mass spectra can be found in Supporting Information.

### 4 | CONCLUSION

This study is the first to report on the in vitro intrinsic receptor activation potential at CB1 and CB2 of the newly emerging SCRA BZO-HEXOXIZID and four structural analogs. Using two live cell-based parr2 recruitment assays, all compounds were found to be full agonists at  $CB_1$ , with efficacies ranging from 165% to 731% compared with CP55,940, and with potencies (EC<sub>50</sub>) ranging from 84.6 (BZO-CHMOXIZID) to 721 nM (BZO-HEXOXIZID), all being less potent than CP55,940. The n-hexyl analog BZO-HEXOXIZID (also known in literature as MDA-19) had the lowest potency and efficacy, followed by the pentenyl analog BZO-4en-POXIZID. Shortening the n-hexyl tail resulted in an important increase in CB1 activation potential. The pentyl and fluoro pentyl analogs BZO-POXIZID and 5F-BZO-POXIZID exhibited higher but quite similar potencies, demonstrating that the addition of a fluorine atom did not have a major impact on CB1 activation. The most potent SCRA of the investigated set was the cyclohexyl methyl analog BZO-CHMOXIZID, which had a relative efficacy within the same range as that of BZO-POXIZID and 5F-BZO-POXIZID. Overall, the same general trend and rank order regarding potency was seen at CB<sub>2</sub>, although differences were less pronounced. More specifically, the negative impact of an unsaturated hydrocarbon tail was not observed at CB<sub>2</sub>. All OXIZIDs showed a clear preference for CB<sub>2</sub>, compared with CP55,940. Given the rather moderate potencies found for these compounds at CB<sub>1</sub>, it is premature to predict whether they will pose extensive cannabinoid-related toxicity. However, these findings may be of value for drug policy makers and health care workers, as they give an idea on the pharmacology of these newly emerging SCRAs and may hint at substances that could potentially appear in the future. Depending on multiple variables such as ease of synthesis, price and availability, it still remains to be seen whether and to what extent this new class will "take off" on the recreational drug market.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

M.H.D acknowledges funding from the Research Foundation-Flanders (FWO; Grant 1S54521N). Cayman Chemical is acknowledged for providing the tested compounds. I.M.J.V acknowledges analytical data sharing by the European Commission Directorate General for Taxation and Customs Unions (DG TAXUD) and the Joint Research Centre (JRC) (Administrative Arrangement JRC-Nr35320-CLEN2SAND3-DG TAXUD/2018/DE/334 for fast recognition of New Psychoactive Substances (NPS) and identification of unknown chemicals). We gratefully acknowledge the lab technicians of the Laboratory of Toxicology for performing part of the analytical characterizations. Margaret Holland is acknowledged for the technical assistance for the NMR analysis on the seized BZO-4en-POXIZID sample.

### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### ORCID

Marie H. Deventer b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6667-2561 Katleen Van Uytfanghe b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8195-150X Inge M. J. Vinckier b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2394-3485 Fabiano Reniero b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0915-8168 Claude Guillou b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6210-3457 Christophe P. Stove b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7126-348X

#### REFERENCES

- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. World drug report 2021. 2021. Accessed November 24, 2021. https://www.unodc.org/unodc/ en/data-and-analysis/wdr2021.html
- European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, ed. European Drug Report: Trends and Developments 2020. Publications Office of the European Union; 2020. https://www.emcdda.europa. eu/system/files/publications/13236/TDAT20001ENN\_web.pdf
- Duke K. Producing the 'problem' of new psychoactive substances (NPS) in English prisons. Int J Drug Policy. 2020;80. doi:10.1016/j. drugpo.2019.05.022
- Gray P, Ralphs R, Williams L. The use of synthetic cannabinoid receptor agonists (SCRAs) within the homeless population: motivations, harms and the implications for developing an appropriate response. *Addict Res Theory*. 2021;29(1):1-10. doi:10.1080/16066359.2020. 1730820
- Seely KA, Lapoint J, Moran JH, Fattore L. Spice drugs are more than harmless herbal blends: a review of the pharmacology and toxicology of synthetic cannabinoids. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2012;39(2):234-243. doi:10.1016/j.pnpbp.2012.04.017
- Lapoint J, James LP, Moran CL, Nelson LS, Hoffman RS, Moran JH. Severe toxicity following synthetic cannabinoid ingestion. *Clin Toxicol Phila Pa.* 2011;49(8):760-764. doi:10.3109/15563650.2011.609822
- Kemp AM, Clark MS, Dobbs T, Galli R, Sherman J, Cox R. Top 10 facts you need to know about synthetic cannabinoids: not so nice spice. *Am J Med.* 2016;129(3):240, e1-244. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2015. 10.008
- European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. Perspectives on drugs: synthetic cannabinoids in Europe. 2017. Accessed March 21, 2022. https://www.emcdda.europa.eu/system/files/ publications/2753/POD\_Synthetic%20cannabinoids\_0.pdf
- Labay LM, Caruso JL, Gilson TP, et al. Synthetic cannabinoid drug use as a cause or contributory cause of death. *Forensic Sci Int*. 2016;260: 31-39. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2015.12.046
- Manini AF, Krotulski AJ, Schimmel J, et al. Respiratory failure in confirmed synthetic cannabinoid overdose. *Clin Toxicol*. 2021;60(4):524-526. doi:10.1080/15563650.2021.1975734
- Bukke VN, Archana M, Villani R, Serviddio G, Cassano T. Pharmacological and toxicological effects of phytocannabinoids and recreational synthetic cannabinoids: increasing risk of public health. *Pharmaceuticals*. 2021;14(10):965. doi:10.3390/ph14100965
- Riederer AM, Campleman SL, Carlson RG, et al. Acute poisonings from synthetic cannabinoids—50 U.S. toxicology investigators consortium registry sites, 2010–2015. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2016; 65(27):692-695. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6527a2
- Abouchedid R, Hudson S, Thurtle N, et al. Analytical confirmation of synthetic cannabinoids in a cohort of 179 presentations with acute recreational drug toxicity to an Emergency Department in London, UK in the first half of 2015. *Clin Toxicol.* 2017;55(5):338-345. doi:10. 1080/15563650.2017.1287373

- Office of China National Narcotics Control Commission. Announcement on the inclusion of 18 substances including synthetic cannabinoids and fluamine in the Supplementary List of Controlled Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances with Non-medical Use. Published online May 12, 2021. https://app.mps.gov.cn/gdnps/pc/content.jsp?id=7881703
- The Center for Forensic Science Research & Education, Cayman Chemical. New systematic naming for synthetic cannabinoid "MDA-19" and its related analogues: BZO-HEXOXIZID, 5F-BZO-POXIZID, and BZO-POXIZID. Published online August 31, 2021. Accessed November 25, 2021. https://www. npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/New-Systematic-Naming-for-MDA-19-and-Related-Analogues\_NPS-Discovery\_ 083121.pdf
- Cayman Chemical. BZO-4en-POXIZID product information. Published online November 11, 2021. Accessed December 1, 2021. https:// cdn.caymanchem.com/cdn/insert/35503.pdf
- van Amsterdam J, Nutt D, van den Brink W. Generic legislation of new psychoactive drugs. J Psychopharmacol (Oxf). 2013;27(3):317-324. doi:10.1177/0269881112474525
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. News: April 2019–China: announcement to place all fentanyl-related substances under national control. Published April 2019. Accessed November 25, 2021. https:// www.unodc.org/LSS/Announcement/Details/f2adea68-fbed-4292a4cc-63771c943318
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. News: May 2021–China: announcement to place synthetic cannabinoids under generic control. Published May 2021. Accessed November 24, 2021. https://www. unodc.org/LSS/Announcement/Details/ff032a29-2e14-4dab-b7d8ab86d355c809
- Liu CM, Hua ZD, Jia W, Li T. Identification of AD-18, 5F-MDA-19, and pentyl MDA-19 in seized materials after the class-wide ban of synthetic cannabinoids in China. *Drug Test Anal*. Published online October 25. 2021. doi:10.1002/dta.3185
- Li X, Shen L, Hua T, Liu ZJ. Structural and functional insights into cannabinoid receptors. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* 2020;41(9):665-677. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2020.06.010
- Turcotte C, Blanchet MR, Laviolette M, Flamand N. The CB2 receptor and its role as a regulator of inflammation. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* 2016; 73(23):4449-4470. doi:10.1007/s00018-016-2300-4
- Guindon J, Hohmann AG. Cannabinoid CB2 receptors: a therapeutic target for the treatment of inflammatory and neuropathic pain. Br J Pharmacol. 2008;153(2):319-334. doi:10.1038/sj.bjp. 0707531
- Hashiesh HM, Sharma C, Goyal SN, Jha NK, Ojha S. Pharmacological properties, therapeutic potential and molecular mechanisms of JWH133, a CB2 receptor-selective agonist. *Front Pharmacol.* 2021; 12:1818. doi:10.3389/fphar.2021.702675
- Bie B, Wu J, Foss JF, Naguib M. An overview of the cannabinoid type 2 (CB2) receptor system and its therapeutic potential. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol.* 2018;31(4):407-414. doi:10.1097/ACO.00000000 0000616
- Malan TP, Ibrahim MM, Lai J, Vanderah TW, Makriyannis A, Porreca F. CB2 cannabinoid receptor agonists: pain relief without psychoactive effects? *Curr Opin Pharmacol.* 2003;3(1):62-67. doi:10. 1016/S1471-4892(02)00004-8
- Diaz P, Xu J, Astruc-Diaz F, Pan HM, Brown DL, Naguib M. Design and synthesis of a novel series of N-alkyl isatin acylhydrazone derivatives that act as selective cannabinoid receptor 2 agonists for the treatment of neuropathic pain. J Med Chem. 2008;51(16):4932-4947. doi:10.1021/jm8002203
- Xu JJ, Diaz P, Astruc-Diaz F, Craig S, Munoz E, Naguib M. Pharmacological characterization of a novel cannabinoid ligand, MDA19, for treatment of neuropathic pain. *Anesth Analg.* 2010;111(1):99-109. doi:10.1213/ANE.0b013e3181e0cdaf

WILEY

### <sup>10</sup> WILEY-

- St. John Smith E. Advances in understanding nociception and neuropathic pain. J Neurol. 2018;265(2):231-238. doi:10.1007/s00415-017-8641-6
- Jensen TS, Gottrup H, Sindrup SH, Bach FW. The clinical picture of neuropathic pain. Eur J Pharmacol. 2001;429(1):1-11. doi:10.1016/ S0014-2999(01)01302-4
- Baron R, Binder A, Wasner G. Neuropathic pain: diagnosis, pathophysiological mechanisms, and treatment. *Lancet Neurol*. 2010;9(8): 807-819. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(10)70143-5
- Rao M, Chen D, Zhan P, Jiang J. MDA19, a novel CB2 agonist, inhibits hepatocellular carcinoma partly through inactivation of AKT signaling pathway. *Biol Direct*. 2019;14(1):9. doi:10.1186/s13062-019-0241-1
- Liu B, Xu L, Dai EN, Tian JX, Li JM. Anti-tumoral potential of MDA19 in human osteosarcoma via suppressing PI3K/Akt/mTOR signaling pathway. *Biosci Rep.* 2018;38(6):BSR20181501. doi:10.1042/ BSR20181501
- Dang N, Meng X, Ma S, et al. MDA-19 suppresses progression of melanoma via inhibiting the PI3K/Akt pathway. Open Med. 2018;13(1): 416-424. doi:10.1515/med-2018-0061
- European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. EMCDDA-Europol 2016 Annual Report on the Implementation of Council Decision 2005/387/JHA. 2016. https://www.emcdda. europa.eu/system/files/publications/4724/TDAN17001ENN\_ PDFWEB.pdf
- 36. The Center for Forensic Science Research & Education. BZO-HEXOXIZID chemistry report. 2021. Accessed November 25, 2021. https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/BZO-HEXOXIZID\_101921\_CFSRE-Chemistry\_Report.pdf?mc\_cid= c736522745&mc\_eid=da2366d692
- 37. The Center for Forensic Science Research & Education. 5F-BZO-POXIZID chemistry report. 2021. Accessed November 25, 2021. https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/5F-BZO-POXIZID\_101921\_CFSRE-Chemistry\_Report.pdf?mc\_cid= c736522745&mc\_eid=da2366d692
- The Center for Forensic Science Research & Education. BZO-POXIZID chemistry report. 2021. Accessed November 25, 2021. https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/BZO-POXIZID\_101921\_CFSRE-Chemistry\_Report.pdf?mc\_cid= c736522745&mc\_eid=da2366d692
- 39. The Center for Forensic Science Research & Education. BZO-CHMOXIZID chemistry report. 2021. Accessed November 25, 2021. https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/BZO-CHMOXIZID\_111821\_CFSRE-Chemistry\_Report.pdf?mc\_cid= 0ee18051f6&mc\_eid=da2366d692
- The Center for Forensic Science Research & Education. 2021 Q1 Synthetic cannabinoids trend report. 2021. Accessed December 1, 2021. https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/ 04/2021-Q1\_Synthetic-Cannabinoids\_Trend-Report.pdf
- The Center for Forensic Science Research & Education. 2021 Q2 Synthetic cannabinoids trend report. 2021. Accessed December 1, 2021. https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/ 07/2021-Q2\_Synthetic-Cannabinoids\_Trend-Report.pdf
- The Center for Forensic Science Research & Education. 2021 Q3 Synthetic cannabinoids trend report. 2021. Accessed December 1, 2021. https://www.npsdiscovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/ 10/2021-Q3\_Synthetic-Cannabinoids\_Trend-Report.pdf
- 43. Ministry of Justice. Notification 2021/0903/HU: Amendment of Decree No 55/2014 of the Ministry of Human Capacities of 30 December 2014 on substances or groups of compounds classified as new psychoactive substances. 2021. Accessed January 5, 2022. https://ec.europa.eu/growth/tools-databases/tris/en/search/? trisaction=search.detail&year=2021&num=903
- 44. Ministry of Justice. Notification 2021/0840/HU: Amendment of Decree No 55/2014 of the Ministry of Human Capacities of

30 December 2014 on substances or groups of compounds classified as new psychoactive substances. 2021. Accessed January 10, 2022. https://ec.europa.eu/growth/tools-databases/tris/index.cfm/en/ search/?trisaction=search.detail&year=2021&num=840& mLang=EN

- Cannaert A, Storme J, Franz F, Auwärter V, Stove CP. Detection and activity profiling of synthetic cannabinoids and their metabolites with a newly developed bioassay. *Anal Chem.* 2016;88(23):11476-11485. doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.6b02600
- Cannaert A, Franz F, Auwärter V, Stove CP. Activity-based detection of consumption of synthetic cannabinoids in authentic urine samples using a stable cannabinoid reporter system. *Anal Chem.* 2017;89(17): 9527-9536. doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.7b02552
- Cannaert A, Storme J, Hess C, Auwärter V, Wille SMR, Stove CP. Activity-based detection of cannabinoids in serum and plasma samples. *Clin Chem.* 2018;64(6):918-926. doi:10.1373/clinchem.2017. 285361
- Cannaert A, Sparkes E, Pike E, et al. Synthesis and in vitro cannabinoid receptor 1 activity of recently detected synthetic cannabinoids 4F-MDMB-BICA, 5F-MPP-PICA, MMB-4en-PICA, CUMYL-CBMICA, ADB-BINACA, APP-BINACA, 4F-MDMB-BINACA, MDMB-4en-PINACA, A-CHMINACA, 5F-AB-P7AICA, 5F-MDMB-P7AICA, and 5F-AP7AICA. ACS Chem Neurosci. 2020;11(24):4434-4446. doi:10. 1021/acschemneuro.0c00644
- Janssens L, Cannaert A, Connolly MJ, Liu H, Stove CP. In vitro activity profiling of Cumyl-PEGACLONE variants at the CB1 receptor: fluorination versus isomer exploration. *Drug Test Anal.* 2020;12(9): 1336-1343. doi:10.1002/dta.2870
- Wouters E, Mogler L, Cannaert A, Auwärter V, Stove C. Functional evaluation of carboxy metabolites of synthetic cannabinoid receptor agonists featuring scaffolds based on L-valine or L-tert-leucine. *Drug Test Anal.* 2019;11(8):1183-1191. doi:10. 1002/dta.2607
- Rajagopal S, Ahn S, Rominger DH, et al. Quantifying ligand bias at seven-transmembrane receptors. *Mol Pharmacol.* 2011;80(3):367-377. doi:10.1124/mol.111.072801
- 52. Pottie E, Dedecker P, Stove CP. Identification of psychedelic new psychoactive substances (NPS) showing biased agonism at the 5-HT2AR through simultaneous use of  $\beta$ -arrestin 2 and miniG $\alpha$ q bioassays. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 2020;182:114251. doi:10.1016/j.bcp. 2020.114251
- Vandeputte MM, Van Uytfanghe K, Layle NK, St. Germaine DM, Iula DM, Stove CP. Synthesis, chemical characterization, and μ-opioid receptor activity assessment of the emerging group of "nitazene" 2-benzylbenzimidazole synthetic opioids. ACS Chem Nerosci. 2021; 12(7):1241-1251. doi:10.1021/acschemneuro.1c00064
- Felder CC, Joyce KE, Briley EM, et al. Comparison of the pharmacology and signal transduction of the human cannabinoid CB1 and CB2 receptors. *Mol Pharmacol.* 1995;48(3):443-450.
- 55. Blanckaert P, Cannaert A, Van Uytfanghe K, et al. Report on a novel emerging class of highly potent benzimidazole NPS opioids: chemical and in vitro functional characterization of isotonitazene. *Drug Test Anal.* 2020;12(4):422-430. doi:10.1002/dta.2738
- Thoren KL, Colby JM, Shugarts SB, Wu AHB, Lynch KL. Comparison of information-dependent acquisition on a tandem quadrupole TOF vs a triple quadrupole linear ion trap mass spectrometer for broadspectrum drug screening. *Clin Chem.* 2016;62(1):170-178. doi:10. 1373/clinchem.2015.241315
- Lobo Vicente J, Chassaigne H, Holland MV, et al. Systematic analytical characterization of new psychoactive substances: a case study. Forensic Sci Int. 2016;265:107-115. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2016. 01.024
- Wouters E, Walraed J, Banister SD, Stove CP. Insights into biased signaling at cannabinoid receptors: synthetic cannabinoid receptor

agonists. Biochem Pharmacol. 2019;169:113623. doi:10.1016/j.bcp. 2019.08.025

- Wiley JL, Compton DR, Dai D, et al. Structure-activity relationships of indole- and pyrrole-derived cannabinoids. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 1998;285(3):995-1004.
- 60. Antonides LH, Cannaert A, Norman C, et al. Shape matters: the application of activity-based in vitro bioassays and chiral profiling to the pharmacological evaluation of synthetic cannabinoid receptor agonists in drug-infused papers seized in prisons. *Drug Test Anal.* 2021; 13(3):628-643. doi:10.1002/dta.2965
- Ametovski A, Cairns EA, Grafinger KE, et al. NNL-3: a synthetic intermediate or a new class of hydroxybenzotriazole esters with cannabinoid receptor activity? ACS Chem Nerosci. 2021;12(21):4020-4036. doi:10.1021/acschemneuro.1c00348
- Banister SD, Moir M, Stuart J, et al. Pharmacology of indole and indazole synthetic cannabinoid designer drugs AB-FUBINACA, ADB-FUBINACA, AB-PINACA, ADB-PINACA, 5F-AB-PINACA, 5F-ADB-PINACA, ADBICA, and 5F-ADBICA. ACS Chem Nerosci. 2015;6(9): 1546-1559. doi:10.1021/acschemneuro.5b00112

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

How to cite this article: Deventer MH, Van Uytfanghe K, Vinckier IMJ, Reniero F, Guillou C, Stove CP. Cannabinoid receptor activation potential of the next generation, generic ban evading OXIZID synthetic cannabinoid receptor agonists. *Drug Test Anal*. 2022;1-11. doi:10.1002/dta.3283